

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,026	01/18/2002	James M. Florence	069078.0110	2122
7590 04/05/2004			EXAMINER	
T. Murray Smith, Esq.			LAUCHMAN, LAYLA G	
Baker Botts L.L Suite 600	P.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001 Ross Avenue			2877	
Dallas, TX 75	201-2980		DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany				
		10/053,026	FLORENCE, JAMES M.	FLORENCE, JAMES M.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		L. G. Lauchman	2877	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	20
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recovered period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of to dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	•	nis action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·	·	s is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,16 and 17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2-15 and 18-25 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	,		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. Ints have been received in Tiority documents have been Tiority (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/18/202</u> .		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grasis et al (US 6,198,857).

As to Claims 1 and 16, Grasis et al discloses an optical multiplexing device comprising an optical filter having four paths shown in Fig. 1 and described in column 3, lines 31-47, and a redirecting section between the ports 48 and 40 for causing optical radiation traveling away from the filter to be redirected to travel toward the filter along the third optical path.

As to Claim 17, the apparatus of Claim 1 is capable of performing the method as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-15, 18-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to Claim 2, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the first, second, third and fourth optical paths are each contained at angularly spaced locations within a side surface of an imaginary right

Art Unit: 2877

circular cone having an axis perpendicular to the surface portion and having an apex substantially at said surface portion, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 1. As to Claim 4, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the redirecting section including a first and a second reflecting sections as claimed, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 1. As to Claim 7, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the redirecting section including an optical fiber and a lens section as claimed, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 1. As to Claim 10, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the filter responsive to optical radiation which impinges on the filter while traveling along a fifth optical path for causing said radiation from the fifth optical path to pass through the filter and thereafter travel away from the filter along said fourth path, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 1.

Conclusion

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2877 via the PTO Fax Center located in CP4-4C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

If the Applicant wishes to send a Fax dealing with either a Proposed Amendment or for discussion for a phone interview then the fax should:

- a) Contain either the statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the Fax Cover Sheet; and
- b) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

 This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L. G. Lauchman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2418.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

L. G. Lauchman Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877

3/31/04